

SLqPCR: Functions for analysis of real-time quantitative PCR data at SIRS-Lab GmbH

Dr. Matthias Kohl
SIRS-Lab GmbH (Jena, Germany)



April 15, 2025

Contents

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| 1 Introduction | 1 |
| 2 Selection of most stable reference/housekeeping genes | 1 |
| 3 Normalization by geometric averaging | 6 |

1 Introduction

The package "SLqPCR" was designed for the analysis of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data. In this short vignette we describe and demonstrate the available functions.

2 Selection of most stable reference/housekeeping genes

We describe the selection of the best (most stable) reference/housekeeping genes using method and data set of Vandesompele et al (2002) [1] (in the sequel: Vand02). We load library and data

```
> library(SLqPCR)
> data(vandesompele)
> str(vandesompele)
```

```
'data.frame':      85 obs. of  10 variables:
 $ ACTB  : num  0.0425 0.0192 0.1631 0.5726 0.037 ...
```

```

$ B2M : num 0.0576 0.0194 0.2956 1 0.0444 ...
$ GAPD : num 0.1547 0.0703 0.7733 1 0.1192 ...
$ HMBS : num 0.11 0.088 0.405 0.797 0.208 ...
$ HPRT1 : num 0.118 0.0708 0.5575 1 0.1304 ...
$ RPL13A: num 0.0742 0.0441 0.3481 0.5707 0.1078 ...
$ SDHA : num 0.203 0.14 0.447 0.974 0.214 ...
$ TBP : num 0.19 0.106 0.469 1 0.201 ...
$ UBC : num 0.0992 0.0368 0.3401 0.598 0.0759 ...
$ YWHAZ : num 0.1032 0.0393 0.3588 0.7863 0.1002 ...

```

We start by ranking the selected reference/housekeeping genes. The function `selectHKgenes` proceeds stepwise; confer Section “Materials and methods” in Vand02. That is, the gene stability measure *M* of all candidate genes is computed and the gene with the highest *M* value is excluded. Then, the gene stability measure *M* for the remaining gene is calculated and so on. This procedure is repeated until two respectively `minNrHK` is reached.

```

> tissue <- as.factor(c(rep("BM", 9), rep("POOL", 9), rep("FIB", 20), rep("LEU", 13), rep("NI", 13)))
> res.BM <- selectHKgenes(vandesompele[tissue == "BM",], method = "Vandesompele", geneSymbol = "all")

```

```
#####
```

```
Step 1 :
```

```
gene expression stability values M:
```

```

      HPRT1      YWHAZ      RPL13A      UBC      GAPD      SDHA      TBP      HMBS
0.5160313 0.5314564 0.5335963 0.5700961 0.6064919 0.6201470 0.6397969 0.7206013
      B2M      ACTB
0.7747634 0.8498739

```

```
average expression stability M:          0.6362855
```

```
gene with lowest stability (largest M value):          ACTB
```

```
Pairwise variation, ( 9 / 10 ):          0.07646901
```

```
#####
```

```
Step 2 :
```

```
gene expression stability values M:
```

```

      HPRT1      RPL13A      YWHAZ      UBC      GAPD      SDHA      TBP      HMBS
0.4705664 0.5141375 0.5271169 0.5554718 0.5575295 0.5738460 0.6042110 0.6759176
      B2M
0.7671985

```

```
average expression stability M:          0.5828883
```

```
gene with lowest stability (largest M value):          B2M
```

```
Pairwise variation, ( 8 / 9 ):          0.07765343
```

```
#####
```

```
Step 3 :
```

```
gene expression stability values M:
```

HPRT1 RPL13A SDHA YWHAZ UBC GAPD TBP HMBS
0.4391222 0.4733732 0.5243665 0.5253471 0.5403137 0.5560120 0.5622094 0.6210820

average expression stability M: 0.5302283

gene with lowest stability (largest M value): HMBS

Pairwise variation, (7 / 8): 0.067112

#####

Step 4 :

gene expression stability values M:

HPRT1 RPL13A YWHAZ UBC SDHA GAPD TBP
0.4389069 0.4696398 0.4879728 0.5043292 0.5178634 0.5245346 0.5563591

average expression stability M: 0.4999437

gene with lowest stability (largest M value): TBP

Pairwise variation, (6 / 7): 0.06813202

#####

Step 5 :

gene expression stability values M:

HPRT1 RPL13A UBC YWHAZ GAPD SDHA
0.4292808 0.4447874 0.4594181 0.4728920 0.5012107 0.5566762

average expression stability M: 0.4773775

gene with lowest stability (largest M value): SDHA

Pairwise variation, (5 / 6): 0.08061944

#####

Step 6 :

gene expression stability values M:

UBC RPL13A HPRT1 YWHAZ GAPD
0.4195958 0.4204997 0.4219179 0.4424631 0.4841646

average expression stability M: 0.4377282

gene with lowest stability (largest M value): GAPD

Pairwise variation, (4 / 5): 0.08416531

#####

Step 7 :

gene expression stability values M:

RPL13A UBC YWHAZ HPRT1
0.3699163 0.3978736 0.4173706 0.4419220

average expression stability M: 0.4067706

gene with lowest stability (largest M value): HPRT1

Pairwise variation, (3 / 4): 0.09767827

#####

Step 8 :

gene expression stability values M:

UBC RPL13A YWHAZ

```

0.3559286 0.3761358 0.3827933
average expression stability M:          0.3716192
gene with lowest stability (largest M value):      YWHAZ
Pairwise variation, ( 2 / 3 ):          0.113745
#####

```

Step 9 :

```

gene expression stability values M:
  RPL13A      UBC
0.3492712 0.3492712
average expression stability M:          0.3492712

```

```

> res.POOL <- selectHKgenes(vandesompele[tissue == "POOL",], method = "Vandesompele", geneSymbol)
> res.FIB <- selectHKgenes(vandesompele[tissue == "FIB",], method = "Vandesompele", geneSymbol)
> res.LEU <- selectHKgenes(vandesompele[tissue == "LEU",], method = "Vandesompele", geneSymbol)
> res.NB <- selectHKgenes(vandesompele[tissue == "NB",], method = "Vandesompele", geneSymbol)

```

We obtain the following ranking of genes (cf. Table 3 in Vand02)

```

> ranks <- data.frame(c(1, 1:9), res.BM$ranking, res.POOL$ranking, res.FIB$ranking, res.LEU$ranking, res.NB$ranking)
> names(ranks) <- c("rank", "BM", "POOL", "FIB", "LEU", "NB")
> ranks

```

| | rank | BM | POOL | FIB | LEU | NB |
|----|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 1 | 1 | RPL13A | GAPD | GAPD | UBC | GAPD |
| 2 | 1 | UBC | SDHA | HPRT1 | YWHAZ | HPRT1 |
| 3 | 2 | YWHAZ | HMBS | YWHAZ | B2M | SDHA |
| 4 | 3 | HPRT1 | HPRT1 | UBC | GAPD | UBC |
| 5 | 4 | GAPD | TBP | ACTB | RPL13A | HMBS |
| 6 | 5 | SDHA | UBC | TBP | TBP | YWHAZ |
| 7 | 6 | TBP | RPL13A | SDHA | SDHA | TBP |
| 8 | 7 | HMBS | YWHAZ | RPL13A | HPRT1 | ACTB |
| 9 | 8 | B2M | ACTB | B2M | HMBS | RPL13A |
| 10 | 9 | ACTB | B2M | HMBS | ACTB | B2M |

Remark 1:

- (a) Since the computation is based on gene ratios, the two most stable control genes in each cell type cannot be ranked.
- (b) In praxis the selection of reference/housekeeping genes may require an additional step which is the computation of relative quantities via `relQuantPCR`; e.g.


```

> exa1 <- apply(vandesompele[tissue == "BM",], 2, relQuantPCR, E = 2)

```

We plot the average expression stability M for each cell type (cf. Figure 2 in Vand02).


```
> library(RColorBrewer)
> mypalette <- brewer.pal(5, "Set1")
> matplot(cbind(res.BM$meanM, res.POOL$meanM, res.FIB$meanM, res.LEU$meanM, res.NB$meanM), type="l",
> axis(1, at = 1:9, labels = as.character(10:2))
> axis(2, at = seq(0.2, 1.2, by = 0.2), labels = as.character(seq(0.2, 1.2, by = 0.2)))
> box()
> abline(h = seq(0.2, 1.2, by = 0.2), lty = 2, lwd = 1, col = "grey")
> legend("topright", legend = c("BM", "POOL", "FIB", "LEU", "NB"), fill = mypalette)
```



Second, we plot the pairwise variation for each cell type (cf. Figure 3 (a) in Vand02)

```
> mypalette <- brewer.pal(8, "YlGnBu")
> barplot(cbind(res.BM$variation, res.POOL$variation, res.FIB$variation, res.LEU$variation, res.NB$variation),
> legend("topright", legend = c("V9/10", "V8/9", "V7/8", "V6/7", "V5/6", "V4/5", "V3/4", "V2/3"), fill = mypalette)
```

```
> abline(h = seq(0.05, 0.25, by = 0.05), lty = 2, col = "grey")
> abline(h = 0.15, lty = 1, col = "black")
```



Remark 2:

Vand02 recommend a cut-off value of 0.15 for the pairwise variation. Below this bound the inclusion of an additional housekeeping gene is not required.

3 Normalization by geometric averaging

To normalize your data by geometric averaging of multiple reference/housekeeping genes you can proceed as follows

```
> data(SLqPCRdata)
> SLqPCRdata
```

| | Gene1 | Gene2 | HK1 | HK2 |
|----|-------|-------|------|------|
| A1 | 26.6 | 25.6 | 12.8 | 18.5 |

```

A2 26.9 25.8 13.2 19.2
A3 27.4 26.1 13.1 19.2
A4 27.7 26.6 13.4 19.5
B1 26.7 25.8 12.9 18.8
B2 24.4 21.5 13.1 18.7
B3 26.5 24.6 12.9 18.7
B4 25.6 23.5 13.8 19.4
C1 28.8 26.6 13.1 19.1
C2 24.4 19.2 13.2 18.5
C3 28.3 25.1 12.9 18.6
C4 25.3 20.6 13.3 19.1
D1 29.3 26.5 12.9 19.0
D2 24.7 18.8 12.7 18.4
D3 27.3 21.1 13.0 18.6
D4 27.3 21.3 13.1 18.4

```

```
> (relData <- apply(SLqPCRdata, 2, relQuantPCR, E = 2))
```

```

      Gene1      Gene2      HK1      HK2
A1 0.21763764 0.008974206 0.9330330 0.9330330
A2 0.17677670 0.007812500 0.7071068 0.5743492
A3 0.12500000 0.006345722 0.7578583 0.5743492
A4 0.10153155 0.004487103 0.6155722 0.4665165
B1 0.20306310 0.007812500 0.8705506 0.7578583
B2 1.00000000 0.153893052 0.7578583 0.8122524
B3 0.23325825 0.017948412 0.8705506 0.8122524
B4 0.43527528 0.038473263 0.4665165 0.5000000
C1 0.04736614 0.004487103 0.7578583 0.6155722
C2 1.00000000 0.757858283 0.7071068 0.9330330
C3 0.06698584 0.012691444 0.8705506 0.8705506
C4 0.53588673 0.287174589 0.6597540 0.6155722
D1 0.03349292 0.004809158 0.8705506 0.6597540
D2 0.81225240 1.000000000 1.0000000 1.0000000
D3 0.13397168 0.203063099 0.8122524 0.8705506
D4 0.13397168 0.176776695 0.7578583 1.0000000

```

```
> geneStabM(relData[,c(3,4)])
```

```

      HK1      HK2
0.2574717 0.2574717

```

```
> (exprData <- normPCR(SLqPCRdata, c(3,4)))
```

| | Gene1 | Gene2 |
|----|----------|----------|
| A1 | 1.728585 | 1.663601 |
| A2 | 1.689720 | 1.620623 |
| A3 | 1.727684 | 1.645714 |
| A4 | 1.713602 | 1.645553 |
| B1 | 1.714500 | 1.656708 |
| B2 | 1.558954 | 1.373669 |
| B3 | 1.706201 | 1.583870 |
| B4 | 1.564586 | 1.436241 |
| C1 | 1.820707 | 1.681626 |
| C2 | 1.561410 | 1.228651 |
| C3 | 1.826986 | 1.620401 |
| C4 | 1.587369 | 1.292483 |
| D1 | 1.871526 | 1.692677 |
| D2 | 1.615795 | 1.229836 |
| D3 | 1.755636 | 1.356920 |
| D4 | 1.758402 | 1.371940 |

References

- [1] Jo Vandesompele, Katleen De Preter, Filip Pattyn, Bruce Poppe, Nadine Van Roy, Anne De Paepe and Frank Speleman (2002). Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. *Genome Biology* 2002, 3(7):research0034.1-0034.11 <http://genomebiology.com/2002/3/7/research/0034/1>